## GeoEcho: Inferring User Interests from Geotag Reports in Network Traffic





Ning Xia (Northwestern University) Stanislav Miskovic (Narus Inc.) Mario Baldi (Narus Inc.) Aleksandar Kuzmanovic (Northwestern University) Antonio Nucci (Narus Inc.)

## Background



#### Geotag: lat/long pair

Host	HTTP requests
www.google.com	S&II=44.xxxxxx, -69.xxxxx&
api.twitter.com	lat=39.xxxxxx& long=-91.xxxxxx
a.medialytics.com	⪫=33.xx&lon= -78.xx&d=HTC+

Each application has its own geotags

### Motivation

- Can we collect all geotags for a single user across applications?
- What do the geotags we see actually mean?
- What can we learn about each user from their reported geogags?

- CSP can see all geotags from different applications for the same user
- A large volume of geotags can be captured from user traffic, but not all of them are user locations
- From user locations, we can learn users' real-world activities

## Motivation (Cont.)

**GeoEcho** is designed to:

- Be fully passive and service-agnostic
- Learn users' <u>real-world</u> interests from geotags
- Be utilized by traffic observers such as CSPs
- Enable better personalized services

GeoEcho analyzes user geotags to connect user online traffic to offline activities, which will enable CSPs to provide better services

#### Dataset

#### Summary of datasets

Trace duration	2 weeks in summer 2012
Location	United States
Total user number	608,788
HTTP sessions with geotag	27,981,407
Base stations with known Coordinate	3,415

- Point of Interest (Pol)
  - Used to present user interests
  - Information from foursquare API
  - 8 categories and 400 subcategories

Pol Categoreis	# of Pol Subcategory subcategory examples		
Art & entertainment	41	41 Art gallery, casino	
College & university	38	College gym, college stadium	
food	87	Coffee shop, Chinese restaurant	
Nightlife spots	18	Bar, night club	
Outdoors	46	Beach, ski area	

## Methodology



## **Geotag Extraction**

- Raw geotag extraction from HTTP requests:
  - 2,500 keyword based geosignature:
    - Hostname
    - Keywords
    - Regular expression
  - 2,246 individual hosts
  - 27,981,407 geotags from HTTP sessions

The extracted geotags may not be user locations.



Raw geotags

### **User Location Identification**

How to identify user locations from reported geotags?

- Geo-trustable hosts
  - HTTP hostnames that only collect user locations
  - Identified by the nearby base stations



Before location identification

After location identification

#### **Geotag Characteristics**

• Fine-grained or coarse-grained

Geotag	Digits	Coverage	% of total
types	after point	in meters	geotags
coarse-grained	1	10,000m*10,000m	0.25%
	2	1,000m*1,000m	40.75%
	3	100m*100m	0.17%
fine-grained	4	10m*10m	0.15%
	5+	1m*1m	58.68%



- User Pol Vector Calculation
  - Geotag Preprocessing:
    - Remove the geotag biases:
      - Temporal aspects
      - Locality aspects
  - Candidate Pol Selection
    - Select nearby Pols for each geotag
      - Nearer Pols have better chance

Pol vector calculation formalizes the Pol selection

Geotag Preprocessing

**Geotag Biases** 

- Geotag are not regular in time
- More geotags around home or work place
- Coarse-grained geotags will cover too many Pols
- <u>Group geotags into hours</u>: the same geotag will be considered once within each hour
- <u>Remove home and work places</u>: 30.7% geotags removed
- <u>Refine coarse-grained geotags</u>: coarse-grained geotags are replaced by inside fine-grained geotags

Candidate Pol Selection



Fine-grained geotags:

- Different Pol search radii
- r1 (20m) < r2 (50m)

Coarse-grained geotags:

- About 500m\*500m coverage
- Consider all covered Pol

All selected Pols from the same geotag are considered with equal user interest.

- User Interest Vector Calculation
  - Calculate user interest vectors on different time scales (daily, month, etc.)
  - Normalize the selected Pols into vectors to enable comparison between different different users.

Pol Category	Pol Subcategory	Interest Score
food	coffee_shop	0.05
food	chinese_restaurant	0.15
college	gym	0.25
college	stadium	0.2
college	library	0.3
nightlife	bar	0.05

User interest vector calculation formalizes the user interests from the user Pol vector for further analysis/comparison

- With User Interest Vectors:
  - Can we learn how many Pols are interested in?
  - Can we predict user movement by different time?
  - Can we group different users with similar interests?

With user interest vectors, traffic observes such as CSPs can learn many details of end users and are possible to provide better services like recommendations and advertising

- User Interest Vectors:
  - Pols can be used to present user real-world interests



The cardinality of user interest vectors is small (among 400 of them)

User Interest Patterns:



User interest vector can be calculated on different time duration (daily/monthly/yearly) to learn user interest patterns

User Interest Uniqueness



Similarity of Pol interests from 100 random users

The user interest vectors are largely unique

## **Summary and Conclusions**

- Methodology:
  - Extract user coordinates to get user locations
  - Define and calculate user interest vectors
  - Connect online traffic to *offline physical activities*
- Geotag characteristics
  - Noisy, irregular and bursty
- User interests:
  - Cardinality is small
  - User interests are largely unique

GeoEcho will generate formalized user interest vectors, which can be calculated on different time duration. CSPs can use such interest vectors to provide better personalized services, such as advertising, recommendation, etc.

#### GeoEcho: Inferring User Interests from Geotag Reports in Network Traffic

Thanks!